

RECORD OF DECISION BY CITY MAYOR OR INDIVIDUAL
EXECUTIVE MEMBER

1.	DECISION TITLE	0-19 "Core Offer" Strategic Commissioning Review: Recommendations for future commissioning & decommissioning of activities, interventions and services.
2.	DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST	<i>None.</i>
3.	DATE OF DECISION	30 July 2012
4.	DECISION MAKER	Assistant Mayor, Children, Young People and Schools
5.	DECISION TAKEN	<p>Having reviewed the summary background documentation provided in the Appendices to the report and the activity undertaken during the Representation Period, the representations received and considered officer responses to these, the final "core offer" as set out in Appendix 2 of the report be endorsed and the commencement of commissioning and decommissioning arrangements set out at Section 5 of the report, onwards, Appendices 4, 5 & 6 to secure improved outcomes and the required financial savings in 2012/13 and 2013/14 be authorised.</p> <p>The Executive has also provided a response to the recommendations of the Children, Young People and Schools Scrutiny Commission which considered the report at a Special Meeting on 10 July 2012, The Scrutiny Recommendations and response are attached separately at appendix 12 and 13 respectively.</p>
6.	REASON FOR DECISION	The recommendations establish a new evidenced based "core offer" designed to address the key priorities identified in our Children's Plan and needs identified in our Joint Strategic Needs Assessment. Each activity/intervention has a corresponding summary sheet detailing its respective evidence base and match against local need (See Appendix 3). It is proposed that this methodology will guide future commissioning activity by the Education and Children's Services Department.
7.	<p>a) KEY DECISION Y/N?</p> <p>b) If yes, was it published 5 clear days in advance? y/n</p>	<p>a) Yes</p> <p>b) Yes</p>

**RECORD OF DECISION BY CITY MAYOR OR INDIVIDUAL
EXECUTIVE MEMBER**

8.	<p>OPTIONS CONSIDERED</p>	<p>There are three options:</p> <p>3.1 Option 1: Implement the proposals as set out in Section 5 and Appendices 4, 5 & 6 of the report.</p> <p>3.2 Option 2: Implement only certain elements as set out in Section 5 and Appendices 4, 5 & 6 of the report and seek to secure equitable compensatory savings across the entire "in scope" activity/intervention portfolio to achieve the required budgetary savings.</p> <p>3.3 Option 3: Reject the commissioning review and implement equitable compensatory savings across the entire "in scope" activity/intervention portfolio to achieve the required budgetary savings.</p> <p>Option 1 is the preferred option as it will secure the greatest impact on desired options – Options 2 and 3 will achieve savings but will perpetuate historical delivery patterns.</p>
9.	<p>DEADLINE FOR CALL-IN</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 5 Councillors not on Cabinet can ask for the decision to be called-in. • Notification of Call-In with reasons must be made to the Monitoring Officer 	<p>This decision be considered urgent and is therefore exempt from call-in in accordance with Rule 12 d 'City Mayor and Executive Procedure Rules', due to the fact that if there were a delay in implementing the decision, this would have serious financial implications for the Council or could compromise the Council's position. It should also be noted that the Report was carefully considered by the Children's Scrutiny Commission on 10th July 2012 and detailed recommendations were made to The Executive in readiness for the decision to be taken on 30th July. Therefore the matter has already received detailed scrutiny by non-Executive elected members (and the public).</p>
10.	<p>SIGNATURE OF DECISION MAKER</p>	